Threat Risk Performance Summary

Risk	Page Number	Q4 Rating (22/23)	Q4 Matrix (22/23)	Q1 Rating (23/24)	Q1 Matrix (23/24)	Q2 Rating (23/24)	Q2 Matrix (23/24)	Q3 Rating (23/24)	Q3 Matrix (23/24)
CRR55 - Children placed in unregistered provision may be at risk	34	N/A	N/A	28 ↓	Likelihood Likelihood	28	Likelihood Likelihood	28	The first state of the first sta
CRR5 - Business Continuity and Operational Resilience may not be effective	8	10	poulai Impact	14	poorter and the second	21	T mpact	21	Impact
CRR9 - Possible Failure of Safeguarding Vulnerable Children	11	21	C (kellhood	21	pool outilities Impact	21	pooluiiaa Impact	21	Timpact
CRR10 - Safeguarding Adults may be at Risk with Care and support needs.	10	15	Tkeilhood Impact	21	Impact	21	Impact	21	poort
CRR12 - Emergency planning measures and resources may be overwhelmed by scope and scale of an emergency or incident faced by the council.	13	14	Dougles Impact	14	Douglet Impact	21	Treppont	21	poorting and the second
CRR13 - Possible Financial Framework and Medium-Term Financial Plan (MTFP) Failure	14	28	poolulia in the second	28	poolulia H	21	poulari Impact	21	pooling and the second se
CRR15 – Possible In-Year Financial Deficit	15	28 ,	po outile NT Impact	21	poutant impact	21	Impact	21	pooling Impact
CRR48 - We may not be able to meet the affordable housing needs of the City by failing to meet the Project 1000 Delivery targets.	27	21	poou uiia Impact	21	Impact	21	poou iiia Impact	21	C Reity og Impact

Risk	Page Number	Q4 Rating (22/23)	Q4 Matrix (22/23)	Q1 Rating (23/24)	Q1 Matrix (23/24)	Q2 Rating (23/24)	Q2 Matrix (23/24)	Q3 Rating (23/24)	Q3 Matrix (23/24)
CRR51 - ASC may be financial unsustainable due to national and local pressures leads to a failure to deliver statutory duties and budgetary control	29	21	Impact	21	poouliii airii Impact	21	poouliaaki Impact	21	mpact
CRR52 - Potential failure to manage and evidence building safety obligations in HRA stock	30	21	pooulia in the second s	21	The first state of the first sta	21	The first second	21	Likelihood Likelihood
CRR56 – Potential threat to the ASC Care Quality Commission (CQC) Assurance Preparedness and Rating	35	15	Be Contract of the second seco	15	Pool Pool Pool Pool Pool Pool Pool Pool	21	pooland Impact	21	Impact
CRR7 - Potential Cyber Security Issues	10	20	Likelihood Likelihood	20	Tkeilhood Internet Impact	20	Tkeilhood Internet Impact	20	Tkeihood Likeihood Impact
CRR25 - Possible Suitability of Line of Business (LOB) Systems Issues	17	20	Tk elipood	20	Tkelihood Impact	20	Tkelihood Impact	20	Likelihood Likelihood Imbact
CRR37 – Homelessness and the subsequent cost of providing suitable affordable accommodation may affect long-term outcomes	21	20	Portugation of the second seco	20	Impact	20	Impact	20	(Invertieved)
CRR40 - Potential Threat of Unplanned Investment in Subsidiary Companies	23	20	Tkelihood Impact	20	Tkeilhood Impact	20	Tkeilhood Internet	20	The line of the li
CRR43 - Lack of progress for Mass Transit may have on Impact on the city	25	20	Tkelihood Impact	20	Tk eithood International	20	Tk eithood International	20	The line of the li
CRR45 - Potential failure to deliver statutory duty in respect of Children	26	20	poortina and a second s	20	Pictor International Internati	20	Impact	20	Likelihood Umpact

Risk	Page Number	Q4 Rating (22/23)	Q4 Matrix (22/23)	Q1 Rating (23/24)	Q1 Matrix (23/24)	Q2 Rating (23/24)	Q2 Matrix (23/24)	Q3 Rating (23/24)	Q3 Matrix (23/24)
CRR53 - Increased social worker and occupational therapists vacancies and sickness rates may result in vulnerable adults care being compromised	32	20	Likelihood Impact	20	pooutile/	20	Impact	20	Likelihood Impact
CRR57 — Possible procurement breaches and compliance with procurement rules & legislation	36	15	Impact	20	poouliesting to the second sec	20	poouliest	20	poutan) Impact
CRR39 - Adult and Social Care major provider/supplier may fail to deliver as expected	22	15 1	Likelihood Impact	15 -	rkeilipod Impact	15 	Likelihood Impact	9	poortine with the second secon

Opportunity Risk Performance Summary

Risk	Page	Q4 Rating	Q4 Matrix	Q1 Rating	Q1 Matrix	Q2 Rating	Q2 Matrix	Q3 Rating	Q3 Matrix
	Number	(22/23)	(22/23)	(23/24)	(23/24)	(23/24)	(23/24)	(23/24)	(23/24)
OPP01 - Possible Impact of One City Approach	39	21	pooqujayin Impact	14	poolient Impact	14	pooulientum Impact	14	poulienti Impact

CORPORATE RISKS PROPOSED FOR DE-ESCALATION FROM THE CORPORATE RISK REGISTER

Threat Risks Recommended for De-Escalation

Risks for De-escalation	Page Number	Q4 Rating (22/23)	Q4 Matrix (22/23)	Q1 Rating (23/24)	Q1 Matrix (23/24)	Q2 Rating (23/24)	Q2 Matrix (23/24)	Q3 Rating (23/24)	Q3 Matrix (23/24)
CRR18 - Possible failure to deliver enough new homes to meet Mayoral and Annual Business Plan targets.	16	10	Tikelihood Impact	15	Likelihood Likelihood Impact	15 	Likelihood Likelihood Impact	15 🗖	reflection of the second secon
CRR27 – We may fail to Deliver the Capital Transport Programme	18	15	L C Reiltood L Reiltood Impact	15	Tkeihood Likeihood Impact	15	Tkeihood Likeihood Impact	15	Impact
CRR41 – Capital Portfolio Delivery May Fail	24	20	Tkellhood Likelihood Impact	20	Likelihood Likelihood Impact	15	Pooulia Ministra	15	poorties in the second
CRR4 – Possible failure to Deliver an effective Corporate Health, Safety and Wellbeing Framework	23	10	Tkellhood Likellhood Impact	10	C Keilhood C Keilhood Market Market M Market Market Mark	15	Pooten and a management of the second	15 =	pootpoor Impact
CRR26 - ICT Resilience May Not Be Effective	18	14	Likelihood Likelihood Impact	14	Likelihood Likelihood Impact	14	Likelihood Likelihood Impact	14	po official and a second secon
CRR29 - Information Security Management System (ISMS) May Not Be Effective	20	10	Treelihood Likelihood Impact	10	Likelihood Likelihood Impact	10	Likelihood Likelihood Impact	10	limpact
CRR49 – Potential Impact of Weak Workforce Resilience	28	20	Tikethood Impact	20	Poou Impact	9	Pour la company a	9	Pool Pool
CRR6 - Potential threat of Fraud and Corruption	9	15	Impact	15	Impact	15	Impact	6	International In

Risks for De-escalation	Page	Q4 Rating	Q4 Matrix	Q1 Rating	Q1 Matrix	Q2 Rating	Q2 Matrix	Q3 Rating	Q3 Matrix
	Number	(22/23)	(22/23)	(23/24)	(23/24)	(23/24)	(23/24)	(23/24)	(23/24)
CRR54 - Potential Threat of Financial Sustainability of Nursery Schools	33	21 Escalated	rikelihood Impact	21	rikelihood Impact	6	Impact	6	poutor Impact

External and Civil Contingency Risks Recommended for De-escalation

Risks for De-escalation	Page Number	Q4 Rating (22/23)	Q4 Matrix (22/23)	Q1 Rating (23/24)	Q1 Matrix (23/24)	Q2 Rating (23/24)	Q2 Matrix (23/24)	Q3 Rating (23/24)	Q3 Matrix (23/24)
BCCC1 – Flooding May Impact Public Safety	40	15	rikelihood	15	Pooulie and management	15 	Pooulie and management	15	Tikelihood
BCCC4 - Possible Increase In Winter diseases including COVID-19 and Flu	41	9	river and the second se	3	Impact	9	Tree Huood	12	The the the test of
BCCC5 - Cost of Living Crisis may have major impact on Citizens and Communities	42	28	Pooquie and the second	12 1	pooutieat	12	pooutioati	12	Impact

CORPORATE RISKS PROPOSED FOR ESCALATION TO THE CORPORATE RISK REGISTER

Threat Risks Recommended for Escalation

Risks for Escalation	Page Number	Q4 Rating (22/23)	Q4 Matrix (22/23)	Q1 Rating (23/24)	Q1 Matrix (23/24)	Q2 Rating (23/24)	Q2 Matrix (23/24)	Q3 Rating (23/24)	Q3 Matrix (23/24)
CRR58 - Failure to maintain and replace the Highway and Traffic assets may lead to future budget shocks and potential injuries to the public	37	9	poortija ja j	9	pooujiesy	21	Tkelihood	21	pooline at the second s
CRR59 - Failure to deliver timely statutory planning decisions	38	12	The short state of the short sta	12	poortina and a second s	12	pooline and the second	20	poortina and a second s

Risk Trend Key

Arrow	Description
1	The risk rating has improved from the previous quarter, having reduced in its severity.
Ļ	The risk rating has deteriorated from the previous quarter, having increased in its severity.
-	The risk rating has not changed from the previous quarter.

<u>Threat Risks</u>

Threat Risk	Trend	Current Risk A	aaaamant	Biek	Tolerance L	aval
	Trend	Current Risk A	ssessment	RISK	Tolerance L	evei
Risk Title: CRR4 – Possible failure to Deliver an effective Corporate Health, Safety and Wellbeing Framework. Description: To deliver an effective management framework in place to ensure that the workplace and work environment is free from health and safety hazards. The framework the Council will use to achieve this is based on the Health and Safety Executives guidance Managing for Health and Safety (HSG65) 'Plan, Do Check Act' approach. The framework will apply to all employees who work at the Council whether on a permanent of temporary basis, Schools, contractor's agency staff visitors and other parties	Constant	15 Likelihood = 3 Impact = 5	Poorting and the second	10 Likelihood Impact =	5	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
who have a business relationship with BCC.						
Risk Causes: If services do not have sufficient staff numbers to carry out work plans in a safe way.	Existing Cont	rols		Mitigating Ad	tions	
If services are not able to order appropriate equipment required for staff safety. Lack of appropriate equipment.	Control		Action T	itle	Due Date	Progress
Lack of appropriate training. Lack of oversight and control by local management. Lack of information on the potential or known risks. Inadequate contract management arrangements. Lack of effective processes and systems consistently being applied Policies are not kept up to date.	 Governance Arrangements Health Safety and Wellbeing 	Strategy	Review Health and S Procedures		March 2024	20%
Risk Consequences: Risk of injury Staff, visitors, contractors, citizens.; Risk of injury to our tenants. Staff put under undue pressure leading to staff taking sick leave or leaving the organisation. Risk of legal action/penalties against the Council and individual managers, including possibility of Corporate Manslaughter. Impact on the reputation of the City Council. Lack of compliance with Health and Safety policies and safe practices, due to pressures of work or lack of training. Reputational damageRisk Owner(s):Chief Executive and Corporate Leadership Board (CLB), Director of Workforce Change.						
Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance and Performance Strategic Theme: Our Organisation	Summary of Progress: Currently re prioritising the key policie management of the overall risk. We a guidance, there should be a clear plar Accident Incident Reporting will go ou There is pressure to get a policy on Vi been drafted so will go before the end mitigations in place for this risk.	re adopting the corporate pont of for January. However, ear the for consultation first along olence and aggression out a	olicy template to do this ly work shows Asbesto side a review of the Co and although this does	and this require s , Legionella Wa rporate Health Sa not have a separ	s some splitting ater Managemer afety and Wellbe ate legal require	of policy from and eing Policy. ement it has

Threat Risk	Trend	Current R	Risk Tolerance Le	evel	
Risk Title : CRR5 - Business Continuity and Operational Resilience may not be effective	Deteriorating	21		9	
Description: If the council has a Business Continuity disruption and is unable to ensure the resilience of key BCC operations and business activities, then the impact of the event maybe increased with a greater impact on people and council Services.	•	Impact = 7 Likelihood = 3	Impact	Likelihood = 3 Impact = 3	rte eilhood Impact
Risk Causes: -Strikes (People, Fuel); Loss of key staff (communicable	Existing Cor	ntrols	Mit	igating Actions	
diseases (Covid - illness and self-isolation) and influenza.; Loss of suppliers / supply chain disruption.; Loss of	Control		Action Title	Due Date	Progress
accommodation to deliver key services; Loss of equipment / infrastructure, including utilities; Any event	 A number of Policies, procedures a place including duty rotas for key set 		Assessment on adherence and implementation	March 2024	40%
which may cause major disruption - e.g., severe weather; Unavailability of IT and/or Telecoms.; Knowledge loss.;	Director rota. 2. Corporate Business Continuity Fra		BC Resource to support pan BCC	April 2024	70%
Reduced chances of preventing/ responding to incidents due to a lack of forward planning or investment.; Climate	escalation process - Framework pro July 2022.		BCC utilisation of escalation framework	February 2024	30%
change	 Corporate Business Continuity Gro 'cross cutting business support ser 	vices' together (IT, FM,	Business Continuity 2023 workshops pan BCC	March 2024	80%
Risk Consequences: - Inability to deliver/support front line services. - Service Disruption.	Procurement, HR) to horizon scan Group has met several times since reporting arrangements and goverr 4. Corporate Resilience Group overse	March 2022 - Formalise nance required.	Embed Corporate Resilience Group and Business Continuity Group into corporate governance framew including alignment with corporate risk group	ork, May 2024	80%
-Loss of service. -Transportation disruption.	preparedness, including BC capabi outage exercise on 22nd March, al	ility - CRG hosted power	IT Resilience (BC)	February 2025	80%
 Additional demand on services. Stress. Potential risk to staff and public safety. Increased financial cost in terms of damage control and insurance costs. Legal compliance and financial penalty. 	 business continuity arrangements. exercise will shape a corporate pow 5. The CRG will seek assurances fror regarding the robustness of continu local risk. 6. Service Level Business Continuity 	Learning from this wer outage plan. m key service areas uity arrangements against	Lead IT Resilience / Business Continuity project, inc developing battle boxes, an IT Resilience Plan, understanding DR arrangements across BCC delive services and SAAS, improving service-level BC plan managing IT outages.	red IT	80%
-Reputational damage.	developing their BC plans in Q3, al 7. Finance Budget		Workshops to support services to complete Busines Continuity templates	s December 2023	100%
	8. Growth Paper		BCC wider BC Plan Quality and Adherence	Jan 2025	70%
Risk Owner(s): Executive Director Growth and Regeneration Director Management of Place. Portfolio Flag: City Economy, Finance & Performance Strategic Theme: Our Organisation, Wellbeing.	required / completed as part of se So 100% is needed but also an a actions. But this is a challenge / b returns for quality and content, th	ervice planning for all Hos assessment to audit if the palance given the limited his is a challenge with 1 F % engaged in Barton Hou	hs have hosted by EPRT BC Resource (1 FTE) the S. Despite the training and the BIA requirement of BIA's produced are of a meaningful quality and resource now trying to balance BC demands an TE resource on business continuity for all BCC. use Major incident). Risk assessment and support	we are still yet to see a 100% retur only exercising on the BIA will tell d support across BCC with an aud (when that 1 FTE also supports e	n of impact assessments. - so that'll be the next it of service planning BIA mergency response and

Threat Risk	Trend Current Risk Assessment Risk Toler					el
Risk Title: CRR6 - Potential threat of Fraud and Corruption Description: Failure to prevent or detect acts of significant fraud or corruption against the council from either internal or external sources.	Improving	6 Likelihood = 2 Impact = 3	Impact	6 Likelihood = 2 Impact = 3	Likelihood	pact
Risk Causes: Heightened levels of fraud, including cyber fraud, due to	Existing Con	trols		Mitigating Actions		
current cost of living increases. Failure of management to implement a sound system of	Control		Action	Title	Due Date	Progress
internal control and/or to demonstrate commitment to it at all times.	 A dedicated Counter Fraud and Invest Audits: Internal Audit reviews will son 		Fraud Risk Assessments		Nov 2023	60%
Not keeping up to date with developments, in new areas	of fraud controls. 3. Continued use of analytic and additio	nal resources to perform	Fraud Reviews		March 2024	25%
of fraud. Insufficient risk assessment of new emerging fraud	payment checks: 4. Fraud Risk Assessments:		Fraud Prevention Strategy		Nov 23	100%
issues. Lack of clear management control of responsibility,	5. Increased use of technology and data		Implementation of Fraud Preventi	March 26	0%	
authorities and / or delegation. Lack of resources to undertake the depth of work	 National Fraud Initiative (NFI) fraud h On-going improvement plan for Whis 	ub App. tle-blowing.	Implementation of Hub developm	ent plan (New)	March 25	0%
required to minimise the risks of fraud /avoidance. Under investment in fraud prevention and detection technology and resource.	 Participation in anti-fraud exercises. Planned programme of proactive frauwork:. Whistleblowing procedure: New interview 		Improving control framework		March 26	25%
Risk Consequences: Potential increase in financial losses due to increase in			Working with other Councils		March 2024	30%
scams. Failure to prevent or detect acts of significant fraud or corruption could result in financial loss for the Council. Reputational damage could be suffered if fraud occurs.			Partnership Working		March 2024	50%
Risk Owner(s): Chief Executive and Director of Finance (S151 Officer).						
Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance and Performance	Summary of Progress: - In the current economic environment chara been undertaken in previous years includii likelihood of a significant fraud and its imp	ng the set up of a fraud hub and	prioritisation of fraud preventior	has resulted in the risk so	ore being redu	ced. Both the
Strategic Theme: Our Organisation	the fraud risk is inherent in most of our act work include improving awareness of fraud and taking actions on lessons learned from	ivities this risk will continue to be d risk through training of staff and	monitored ensuring that action	s from fraud risk assessme	ents are acted ι	ıp. Ongoing

Threat Risk	Trend	Current Risk	Assessment	Risk To	Risk Tolerance Level		
Risk Title: CRR7 – Potential Cyber Security Issues Description: The Council's risk level in regard to Cyber-security is higher than should be expected.	Constant	20 Likelihood = 4 Impact = 5	Likelihood Impact	10 Likelihood = 2 Impact = 5	Lolerance Likelihood	nce Impact	
Risk Causes: • Lack of investment in appropriate technologies.	Existing Con	Existing Controls					
 Reliance on in-house expertise, and self-assessments (PSN). Lack of formal approach to risk management (ISO27001). Historic lack of focus. Risk Consequences: a. Information security incidents resulting in loss of personal data or breach of privacy / confidentiality. b. Safeguarding data breach impacting on safety of vulnerable child or adult. 	Control		Action		Due Date	Progress	
	 Phishing attack exercises - As w the Council continues to carry or exercises where we are sending users react to this type of Cyber links is directed towards targeted Targeted Training of employees Governance and ICT team will c support the SIRO to develop app for all Council staff relating to cy IG and ICT Teams 	ut regular Phishing attack emails to staff to see how Attack. Anyone clicking on d training. — The Information ontinue to work together to propriate targeted training	1. Work with ICT collea discussions around responsibilities is be	cementing roles and	Dec 2023	90%	
c. Risk of breaching the regulations and being subject to penalties/fines - Regulations Fines	3. Technical controls		2. Implement audit actio IG Board	ns with oversight by	Dec 2023	90%	
increasing from up to £500,000 to 10-20m Euros of 4% of global turnover, enforced by the Information Commissioners Office on behalf of the European Union. d. Increased litigation. e. Reputational damage.	4. Security team training						
Risk Owner(s): Chief Executive, Senior Information Risk Owner (SIRO).							
Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance and Performance Strategic Theme: Our Organisation	Summary of Progress. Significant work is ongoing, including reduced. Work being conducted und Supported by BAU activities. In revie unchanged.	er DTP, as well as with exte	rnal SME partners, includin	g Microsoft commissio	ned pieces of	work.	

Threat Risk	Trend	Current	t Risk Assessment	Risk Tolerance Level		el
Risk Title: CRR9 - Possible Failure of Safeguarding Vulnerable ChildrenDescription: The council fails to prevent increased risk of harm to children, resulting in harm or death to a vulnerable child.	Constant	21 Likelihood = 3 Impact = 7	Likelihood Impact	7 Likelihood = 1 Impact = 7	po ouliayin Impact	
Risk Causes:	Existing Controls		N	litigating Actions		
-Demand for services exceeds service capacity and	Control		Action Tit		Due Date	Progress
capability.; Inadequate controls result in harm. -Increase in child protection, complex safeguarding risks, criminal exploitation, serious youth violence and gang affiliation.; Hidden harm resulting from	DCS quarterly assurance report to Corporate Leadership Board Inspections and Peer Reviews		Procure a strategic partner to under familial harm and with our children v home or care.	take work regarding extra	October 23	85%
periods of lockdown, increased stress in families and service disruption during COVID	Quality assurance and performance framework i Strategic Risk Assurance	in place.	Our Families Transformation Programme		March 2025	20%
 Placement failure due to COVID infection across children's home or fostering households. An increase in demand of 6% evident across care population - specific pressures are clear for teenagers and unaccompanied children requiring our care 	The Keeping Bristol Safe Board provides indepe children's safeguarding and safer communities' city and holds BCC and partner agencies to acco	arrangements in the	Working with other Councils		March 2024	30%
Risk Owner(s): Executive Director Children and Families						
Portfolio Flag: Children's Services, Education & Equalities	Summary of Progress: Quality Assurance and performance frame quarterly assurance report to Corporate Le provides independent scrutiny of children's	adership Board and	action taken to address areas for	improvement. The Keep	oing Bristol Sa	afe Board
Strategic Theme: Our Organisation, Empowering and Caring, Wellbeing.	 to account. LGA review undertaken and ne safe system of work for safeguarding childr improvements: resource in place for missing children reviewing quality assurance practice to Appointed a strategic partner to review reviewing child sexual abuse pathway Revised (as part of KBSP) Threshold of implementing 'Safe and Together' app Our Families Transformation Program DfE Grant following Enhanced Diagnon housing pathway and recruitment and 	ew Independent Cha ren and communities by appointment of a o ensure consistent of and redesign extra with partners document roach to Domestic A me to deliver better stics pilot to improve	air driving improvements. Services s. Reviewing various areas of spec strategic partner quality of audits and sufficient nun familial harm pathway and service Abuse in families. outcomes for children and families e services to and outcomes for chil	and structure aimed at cific vulnerability and im nber. Support provided t es through DfE funds	ensuring deliv plementing by Islington th	very of a rough PiP.

Threat Risk	Trend	Current Risk	Assessment	Risk T	olerance Le	vel
Risk Title: CRR10 - Safeguarding Adults may be at Risk with Care and support needs.Description: The council fails to ensure adequate safeguarding measures are in place for adults at risk.	Constant	21 Likelihood = 3 Impact = 7	Likelih od Imbact	7 Likelihood = 1 Impact = 7	Likeli	pact
Risk Causes:	Existing Con	trols		Mitigating Action	is	
Adequacy of controls.; Management and operational	Control		Action Title		Due Date	Progress
practices. Demand for services exceeds capacity and capability.	Annual report shared with Elected Members to allow for		Demand Management Re	view Ma	rch 24	0 %
Poor information sharing. Lack of capacity or resources to deliver safe practice. Reduction in or lack of supply of commissioned care. Failure to commission safe care for adults at risk. Failure to meet the requirements of the 'Prevent Duty' placed on Local Authorities. Increased destitution in families, impacting on mental ill health, managing increased infection within the population. (COVID19); Increase identification of self- neglect and complexity. Carer strain / resilience. Risk Consequences: Financial damage Legal liability Death/Injury Reputational damage Risk Owner(s): Executive Director People, Director Adult Social Care.	 scrutiny of progress of the K Partnership (KBSP). Training for all key staff in th safeguarding. Twice weekly business cont supply of commissioned car of waiting list. Improved Data through Pow safeguarding concerns feed management operational me Safeguarding Discussion Fo monthly – sharing information cases 	ne essentials of inuity meeting around e and active management rerBI – capturing ing into monthly eetings orum – multi-agency held	Workforce - maximising st resources within budget	affing Dec	c 2023	0%
Portfolio Flag: Adult Social Care & Integrated Care System	Summary of Progress: Risk remains; reviewed 23 November team is working on introducing best p				er insight, and	operational
Strategic Theme: Strategy Theme: Our Organisation, Empowering others and Caring, Fair and Inclusive, Well connected, Wellbeing.						

Threat Risk	Trend	Current R	Current Risk Assessment			evel
Risk Title: CRR12 - Emergency planning measures and resources may be overwhelmed by scope and scale of an emergency or incident faced by the council.	Deteriorating	24				
Description: A Major Incident or emergency which exceeds the response capacity of the council and partner responding organisations leading to mass fatalities, excess deaths, damage to property and infrastructure and an ability to deliver key service to the community. In addition, further consequences could be litigation and reputational damage to the council.		21 Impact 7 = Critical Likelihood 3 = Likely	Pool	9 Likelihood = 3 Impact = 3	Likelihood	npact
Risk Causes:	Existing Cor	ntrols		litigating Actions		P
-Emergency risks not identified and prepared for. -Lack of trained and available responding staff.	Control		Action Title		Due Date	Progress
-Energency roles and responsibilities not embedded.	1.24/7 Operations Centre provides ef city and a co-ordinary role in respons	e and recovery	Development and roll out of the Em- learning package		May 24	60%
	2.Corporate Resilience Group, overseeing mitigations of contingencies risks identified on the National Security Risk Assessment and delivery of Category 1 Responder duties		Community Resilience Mapping development		January 24	80%
	3.Active participation in the Avon and Somerset Local Resilience Forum and close working with multi-agency partners, including training and exercising.		Emergency training – rest centres, humanitarian assistance and training for Marshals currently running		May 2024	70%
	4.Emergency Plans		Plan and Deliver Corporate exercise)	March 2024	70%
Risk Consequences:	5.Duty Director rota in place		ERPT Resource Growth bid		March 2024	60%
 Disruption of public services; Disruption of transport networks; Death/injury Displacement of people 	6.Duty Civil Protection Officer & othe (Highways, Dangerous Structures, Pr etc)		Emergency Volunteer Reduction. No	eed increase.	March 2024	10%
	7.BCC emergency plan training and e	exercising in place	Horizon scanning for emerging risks annually (Via CRG, BC Group and LRF)		March 2024	65%
	8.Monitoring of severe weather event	ts	Public Health demand v standard		March 2024	80%
	9.Close working with Safety Advisory		COMAH Off Site Emergency Plan and Exercise (New)		Nov 24	70%
Risk Owner(s): Executive Director Growth and Regeneration, Director Management of Place.	10.Horizon scanning for emerging ris (through CRG, BC Group and LRF)	ks, including Ukraine war	Updating Core Guidance (New)		March 24	60%
Portfolio Flag: City Economy, Finance & Performance Strategic Theme: Our Organisation, Wellbeing	Summary of Progress The BCC ERPT team 3.5 FTE (total r emergency response and recovery wa maybe impacted BCC,/City/Citizens a Growth Paper for decision pathway. (as recently 100% engaged in l as the 3.5 would not have beer	Barton House Major incident, thus a ri	sk of potential concurr	ent or sequentia	l incidents

Threat Risk	Trend	Current Risk Assessment	:	Risk Toleran	ce Level	
Risk Title: CRR13 - Possible Financial Framework and Medium-Term Financial Plan (MTFP) Failure Description: Failure to be able to reasonably estimate and agree the financial 'envelope' available, both annually and in the medium-term and the council is unable to set a balanced budget.	Constant	21 Likelihood = 3 Impact = 7	Impact	14 Likelihood = Impact = 7		ipact
Risk Causes: Failure to achieve Business Rates income- appeals/general	Existing Controls		Mitigating Actions			
economic growth/loss of major sites. Economic uncertainty impact on locally	Control		Action Title	Du	e Date	Progress
generated revenues - business rates and housing growth, impacting on council tax, new homes bonus and business rate income. The general economic uncertainty affecting the financial markets, levels of trade & investment Local Government finance settlement from spending review. Continued Impact of Covid-19 on key income sources. Inadequate budgeting & budgetary control/Financial Settlements & wider fiscal policy changes:-The potential for new funding formulas such as fair funding.	 Budget Preparation, Setting a Framework - BCC manages its fin of controls including budget prepa Budget Accountability Frame responsibilities for managing, r 	Making representation to government departments in re to: - the likely costs at a local for the proposed Adult Social reforms	level Ma	rch 2024	0%	
policy changes:-The potential for new funding formulas such as fair funding, business rates retention to significantly reduce the government funding available to the council alongside possible increase in demand for council services.	income and expenditure agains place. 2. Medium Term Financial Plan – Ty	vice yearly update including financial modelling on all	Appropriate Finance Resourcing Improvement		rch 2024	50%
Embedding of the new national funding formula for schools and High Needs. Political failure to facilitate the setting of a lawful budget. Unable to agree a deliverable programme of propositions that enable the required savings to be achieved. Insufficient reserves to mitigate risks and liabilities and provide resilience. Rising inflation could lead to increased cost. Impact of Adult Social Care reform and sufficient funding available to meet increased cost	sensitivity and scenario based assumptions including inflation ar		Robustness of Monitoring and Delivery of Savings		rch 2024	50%
Risk Consequences: Potential failure to set a legal budget and council tax by the due date, would have a significant adverse impact on the council's ability to provides services and the council's reputation locally and nationally in terms of investor confidence. That the budget is unlikely to reflect council priorities and objectives. That the budget may not adequately resource pressures and increases in demand. That the budget includes savings which are not deliverable. That the council reserves are used for mitigating the medium-term financial plan; running down reserves, avoiding decision and reducing the Council's resilience. Negative impact on front line services. A negative opinion from external audit. Secretary of State intervention.						
Risk Owner(s): Chief Executive and Director of Finance (S151 Officer).						
Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance and Performance Strategic Theme: Our Organisation	Summary of Progress: The risk is significantly impacted by issues economically and politically, funding arrange of the process are undertaken annually and and influencing through available channels time, with work continuing to enable it to b addition the provisional local government fin for the coming budget setting period. Overa coming to an end in 24/25 and the changes	ments from central government a sensitivity testing of assumptions to ensure that the Council contir e closed to support a balanced t ancial settlement remains outstar II there is limited certainty availa	nd policy that impacts the Council's and modelling is undertaken, as we ues to manage and mitigate this ris pudget and MTFP to be taken forw ding and therefore the final impact f ble for the medium term in terms of	MTFP. Internal ell as collaborat sk. The MTFP I vard for recomm from that will ne	controls ar on with pee oudget gap endation to ed to be tak	nd management er organisations remains at this o full council. In ten into account

Threat Risk	Trend	Current Risk Assessment	Risk Tolerance Level			
Risk Title: CRR15 – Possible In-Year Financial Deficit	Constant	onstant 21		6	g	
Description: The council's financial position goes into significant deficit in the current year resulting in reserves (actual or projected) being less than the minimum specified by the council's reserves policy.		Likelihood = 3 Impact = 7	Booline Impact	Likelihood = 2 Impact = 3	Impact	
Risk Causes:	Existing Controls		Mitigating Actions			
A failure to appropriately plan and deliver savings.	Control		Action Title	Due Date	Progress	
Unscheduled loss of material income streams. Increase in demography, demand and costs for key council	1 PCC Financial Framework, PCC's Financial framework analysis		DfE Deliver Better Programme	Dec 2023	60%	
services. The inability to generate the minimum anticipated level of capital	management, monitoring and rep Leadership Team and Cabinet.	Appropriate Finance Resourcing Improvement	March 2024	50%		
receipts. Insufficient reserves to facilitate short term mitigations, risks and liabilities. Interest rate volatility impacting on the council's debt costs. Impairments in our commercial Investments are realised. Response to inadequate SEND inspection in 2019, Increased demand for EHCPs, Lack of specialist provision in Bristol, increased compliance to statutory requirements in relation to SEND.	 oversight and ongoing managem and deep dives in areas reported Ensuring engagement at local, re table and working groups to keep Business Rates retention and ne Government. To ensure funding for of changes are fed into our long-t strategic planning. Policy and Business 	egional and national level - in round o abreast the spending review, w funding formulas for Local for Bristol is maximised and impact term financial planning and dget Framework -	Robustness of Monitoring and Delivery of Savings	March 2024	50%	
Risk Consequences: The council's financial position goes into significant deficit in the current year resulting in reserves (actual or projected) being less than the minimum specified by the council's reserves policy.	 Re-assessment of service deliver and other reserves - We will carry service delivery risks and opport. DSG - Detailed Management Pla detailed Management Plan is in or recommended framework - The or was discussed with the DfE in Sp requesting a formal submission a DSG - Early Years Block Task a to manage budget overspend 					
Risk Owner: Director Finance (CFO S151)						
Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance and Performance	Summary of Progress: This remains High. At P7 and moving deterioration. Whilst much of the adve the position has worsened and further	rse position has been managed thro	ugh in year mitigation and balance			

Threat Risk	Trend	Current Ri	sk Assessment	I	Risk Tolerance Level		
Risk Title: CRR18 - Possible failure to deliver enough new homes to meet Mayoral and Annual Business Plan targets. Description: Failure of the City to deliver to the Mayoral Target of 2000 new homes per year by 2024. Strategies and delivery models designed to further stimulate growth in the housing market and deliver diversity of the housing offer across the city prove to be ineffective and do not attract and retain economically active residents.	Constant	15 Likelihood = 3 Impact = 5	Likelihood Impact	Likeliho Impa	ood = 3	Likelihood	O o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o
Risk Causes: -Not enough planning applications submitted	Existing Controls	5	N	litigating A	ctions		
-Not enough planning permissions granted	Control		Action Title		Due I	Date	Progress
 Insufficient housing land identified in strategic planning documents Inability of the housebuilding industry to deliver at this level Increased uncertainty in the market due to Brexit and Covid-19. 	1.Created a single multi-disciplinary Housing Delivery Team Se		Secure Homes England Affordable Housing Programme Funding		March 2026		70%
Risk Consequences: -Reputational damage - Fail to deliver inclusive growth - Increased housing need / homelessness -Increased cost of housing -Failure to retain economically active residents. -Widening gap on demand -Growth of student accommodation retracting	 4.Manage a targeted grant funding prograd delivery of affordable homes. 5.Required a minimum of 30% affordable by the Council. 6.Secured additional grant funding for infrafunding from Homes England 7.Service Review of Housing Delivery Tea 8.Worked collaboratively with Homes England 	mme to subsidise the housing on land released astructure. Secured m and					
Risk Owner(s): Executive Director Growth and Regeneration, Director Development of Place.	 Strategic City Planning monitor housing completions and future pipeline of conser 						
Portfolio Flag: Housing Delivery and Homes Strategic Theme: Fair and Inclusive	Summary of Progress: This has materialised for this FY. There ma completion there is now little that the planni			to the time	lag between	decision m	aking and

Threat Risk	Trend	Current Risk	Assessment	Risk Tolerance Level		
Risk Title: CRR25 – Suitability of Line of Business (LOB) Systems Description: The Council has reliance on legacy software systems which cause a number of risks due to; 1. Supportability from internal IT resource 2. The supportability of the hardware utilised 3. Lack of alignment to strategy and therefore a blocker to Digital Transformation 4. Within an appropriate support contract 5. Legacy data used for current work (GDPR) 6. Lack of Information (Cyber) Security controls 7. High cost where alternative core Council solutions exist	Constant	20 Likelihood = 4 Impact = 5	Like il hood Like il hood Impact	10 Likelihood = 2 Impact = 5	Likelihood ul	D pact
Risk Causes: Sovereignty within service areas, and a	Existing Con	trols		Mitigating Actions		
lack of motivation to change.	Control		Action		Due Date	Progress
Cost of transition. Lack of knowledge of which systems are problematic and the impacts of these. Lack of understanding of impact. Lack of ownership from Information Asset Owners. Lack of documentation pertaining to software systems	1. Auditing of all councils Line of Business (LOB systems)		Channel Shift Project - Review legacy line of business systems with the view to rationalising and replacing either by building on existing internal platforms such as dynamics or via procurement of new products and better utilisation of functionality.		February 2028	0%
and ownership of strategy. Cost avoidance of replacing systems. This is seen as an IT problem, not one for the software system owners.	 IT Services highlight risks and shortcomings with systems (in an informal manner) to Heads of Service and Senior Leadership Work with Information Governance perpetuate a Cyber 					
Risk Consequences: Lack of resilience and continuity in event of an incident/failureHigh-cost applications without appropriate support. Inability to improve service delivery through digital transformation. May feed into Information (Cyber) Security risks.Risk Owner(s): Director, Digital Transformation,	Security or Information Manag service areas understand the r					
Senior Information Risk Owner (SIRO) for Cyber Security. Service Areas for BCP/DR.						
Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance and Performance	Summary of Progress: Work underway to procure cyber-see lower risk until assessment of LOB e		completed, giving overview	of known IT systems/c	contracts; but c	loes not
Strategic Theme: Our Organisation						

Threat Risk	Trend	Current Risk	k Assessment	Ris	k Tolerance	Level
Risk Title: CRR26 – ICT Resilience May Not BeEffectiveDescription: The Councils ability to deliver critical and key services in the event of ICT outages and be able to recover in the event of system and/or data loss.	Constant	14 Likelihood = 2 Impact = 7	Likelihood Impact	10 Likelihood Impact	d=2 ਸ਼ਿੰ	Impact
Risk Causes: Poor Business Continuity (BCP) planning and understanding of key system	Existing Co	ontrols	Mitiga	ating Action	ns	
architecture.	Control		Action Title		Due Date	Progress
Untested Disaster Recovery (DR) arrangements including data recovery.	 Connection to BCC systems pro staff working from home, conne 	1. Project to move Shared Drives	to Cloud	December 2025	65%	
Untested network reconfiguration to alleviate key location outage.			2. Removal of legacy hardware from estate		November 2025	50%
Untested recovery schedules in terms of order and instructions. Lack of resilience available for legacy systems (single points of failure - people and technology). Services undertaking their own IT arrangements outside of the corporate approach. Risk Consequences: Inability to deliver services	 as a back door which allows not Microsoft office 365. 2. Highlight to service areas vulne Highlighting to service areas wh vulnerable and advising on likel enable appropriate BC planning 3. Moved critical systems to the close are in progress to review and im critical systems including Adult a Revs and Bens and Housing 5. Supplier run order in the event of our disaster recovery supplier h 	rable applications - here applications may be y timescales for disruption to g. oud with more effective DR. critical systems - Workshops hprove resilience for our most and children's social care, of multiple system outage -				
Risk Owner(s): Chief Executive, Director, Digital Transformation, Service Area Leads.	a major outage involving multipl6. Weekly testing of individual syst individual systems is tested weekly	le systems. tems restore - The restore of				
Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance and Performance	Summary of Progress: Risk level remains unchanged, but work by moving more of our estate to Cloud-b are still present.	is progressing on key areas, includi ased services. However, gaps inclu	ing projects within the Digital Transfor ding failover testing and the need for	rmation Prog a wider orga	ramme to increa nisational projec	se resilience t on BC/DR
Strategic Theme: Our Organisation						

Threat Risk	Trend	Current Risk As	sessment	Risk Tolerance Level			
Risk Title: CRR27 – We may fail to Deliver the Capital Transport Programme	Constant				poor		
Description: Management of the overall transport capital programme is key to ensuring we deliver against mayoral priorities in the most cost and time efficient way possible. Failure to do so negatively impacts the council's reputation and finances and makes the council less likely to reduce congestion, air pollution and inequality.		15 Likelihood = 3 Impact = 5	Likelihood	9 Likelihood = 3 Impact = 3	Tolerance	mpact	
		Existing Controls			ions		
	Pro		Action Title Capital Programme Transformation Project (New)		Due Date March 24	Progress 50%	
			Health reviews of va	arious projects (New)	March 24	75%	
	Regular briefings and reporting to senior ma members.	nagement and cabinet	Recruit to vacant posts across the service (New)		March 24	10%	
Risk Consequences: - Financial impact - Failure to progress schemes or delays to schemes impact on productivity of city and aims to reduce congestion, air pollution and inequality - Reputation Impact							
Risk Owner(s): Executive Director Growth and Regeneration, Director Economy of Place.							
Portfolio Flag: Transport (Cllr Alexander)	Summary of Progress: Lack of resource and high number of va an issue.	acancies still leading to signi	ficant risk of failing to	deliver capital programn	ne. Support service	es can also be	
Strategic Theme: Our Organisation, Wellbeing							

Threat Risk	Trend	Current Risk	Assessment	Risk T	olerance Lev	vel
Risk Title: CRR29 - Information Security Management System (ISMS) May Not Be EffectiveDescription: There is a risk that if the council does not have an Information Security Management System then it will not be able to effectively manage Information Security risks.	Constant	10 Likelihood = 2 Impact = 5	Likelihood Impact	5 Likelihood = 7 Impact = 5		npact
Risk Causes: Ineffective Information Security Management System, inadequate resources to	Control	-		Mitigating Action	IS	
create and maintain an ISMS, management buy			Action Ti	tle	Due Date	Progress
in and support to operate an ISMS.	 Guidance and awareness ca regular phishing campaigns being delivered to raise awa 	Comms and awareness	1. Continue roll out of oversight from ICGE Governance Tool	December 2023	90%	
		around the risk of Cyber incidents and how good Information Security practices (including adherence to			December 2023	100%
Risk Consequences:Information security incidents resulting in loss of personal data or breach of privacy / confidentiality.Safeguarding data breach impacting on safety of vulnerable child or adult.Risk of breaching the regulations, and being subject to penalties/fines - Regulations Fines increasing from up to £500,000 to 10-20m Euros of 4% of global turnover.Increased litigation. Reputational damage.Risk Owner(s): Senior Information Risk Owner (SIRO).	policies) will help minimise t occurring 2. Security Team Training 3. Meta Compliance tool online compliance/engagement of	e to track	oversight by IG Boa			
Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance and Performance	Summary of Progress: No change to current score. Policy v corporate policy work, and internal co	ollaboration with IT policies th				
Strategic Theme: Our Organisation, Empowering and Caring, Fair and Inclusive, Well Connected, Wellbeing	improvement needed to be fully align					

Threat Risk	Trend	Current R	Risk Assessment	Risk To	lerance Lev	vel
Risk Title: CRR37 - Homelessness and the subsequent cost of providing suitable affordable accommodation may affect long-term outcomesDescription: The risk that homelessness and the subsequent cost of providing suitable affordable accommodation to meet needs and achieve effective long-term outcomes increases.	Constant	20 Likelihood = 4 Impact = 5	rike ilipood Impact	9 Likelihood = 3 Impact = 3	Likelihood	mpact
Risk Causes: -The ending of the eviction ban	Existing Control	ols		Mitigating Actions		
-Unemployment and cost of living rising leading to an increase in evictions.; A recent sharp increase in the number of	Control		Action Titl	e	Due Date	Progress
households partly or wholly reliant on welfare benefits [UC claimant households in Bristol have risen from 17,000 in	 Joint commissioning of services commissioning of services for those 		Changing Futures Programme		March 2024	45%
number in April 2020 to 38,000+ in Feb. 2022]. For most welfare benefits recipients, particularly those living in the	 who also face multiple disadvantages - to create a more holistic approach and to improve outcomes. Proposals for commissioning a new framework for supported TA is going to cabinet in October 2022. Effective Commissioning - Recommission our short-term supported housing (Pathways) accommodation & support contracts - to maximise effectiveness of these resources / funding stream and minimise repeat homelessness. Effective cost - New supplier contracts - successfully 		Increase the supply of move on accommodation - RSAP round 5 bid deadline 13th April 2022		March 2024	60%
private rented sector, housing and essential household costs are not met by their benefits entitlements'.Impact of the pandemic leading to an increase in mental health issues, family relationship breakdown and domestic violence & abuse. -Supply of affordable rented housing reducing -Increasing popularity of Bristol as a city to move to, and associated increased pressure on demand and cost of private rented accommodation			Cost Effective Accommodation - I the aim of reducing the net unit co Accommodation. Opportunities be prioritised.	ost of Temporary	December 2023	50%
Risk Consequences: Increase in homelessness and the number of households in Temporary Accommodation. Expenditure on Temporary Accommodation does not return to pre-pandemic levels and could continue to increase.	Planning to bring more block contra year	acts on-line this financial	Homelessness prevention - review Review how the service and the v sector works with clients to identified early intervention and prevention	vider homelessness y opportunities for more	March 24	15%
			Submit a bid to Single Homelessness Accommodation Programme (SHAP) to bring on-line additional supported housing		September 2023	100%
 Risk Owner(s): Executive Director Growth and Regeneration, Director Housing Portfolio Flag: Housing Delivery and Homes Strategic Theme: Our Organisation, Empowering and Caring, Fair and Inclusive, Well Connected, Wellbeing. 	Summary of Progress: The number of households prese households living in Temporary A tracked asylum decisions, increas increase. There is an underlying p 23/24 is now £1.9m.	ccommodation (TA) 1 sed homelessness from	300 on 31st July 2023 to 1431 on the private rented sector and e	on 30th November 202 early prison release ha	3. Factors inclusions inclusions and the second sec	uding fast o the

Threat Risk	Trend	Current Risk	Assessment	Risk Tolera	ance Level
Risk Title: CRR39 – Adult and Social Care major provider/supplier may fail to deliver as expected failure Description: Failure or potential degradation of ASC service provision linked to a complex set of internal / external risks causing service interruption or cessation. Failures or closures in the supply chain mean insufficient supply to source adequate appropriate support and meet Care Act needs.	Improving	9 Likelihood = 3 Impact = 3	poort	14 Likelihood = 2 Impact = 7	Likelihood Likelihood Impact
Risk Causes: - Provider goes into liquidation or ceases operations	Existing Contro	ols		Mitigating Actions	_
-Provider unable to meet demand due to recruitment / workforce/ or			Action Tit		Date Progress
organisational issues. -Factors influencing provider/supplier failure: Increased demand and increased complexity of need of individuals putting further pressure on social care sector. Chronic workforce recruitment and retention problems heightened by pandemic. The social care sector facing a	 Daily review of supply and sustainable business continuity meetings across Twice weekly Operational Business of Weekly ASC Business continuity meeting 	operations continuity meetings eting – DMT level	Provider RAG rating to essential / difficult to rep services		ch 24 10%
number of other issues – highly competitive job market, covid 'exhaustion', rising energy costs, changes to National Living Wage, inflation/ raising costs of supplies, high cost of living in Bristol, significant pressures from two large acute hospitals.	 Weekly produced Sit Rep with inform Management, supply, demand, provi Regular information received from Da assess financial risk Each major contract (Home Care, Ca Support Services, ECH) has a multi-t 	der quality &B Credit ratings to help are Homes, Community			
Risk Consequences:Citizens (many of whom are very vulnerable) may have services endedor reduced without much notice putting them at risk and causingdistressLack of suitable local provision may mean people moving away fromcommunity, support networksLack of alternative provision should mean not meeting statutory dutiesunder Care ActPressures on ASC workforce (social work, contracts, brokeragecommissioning etc) to review and find alternative provision in timelymannerFinancial pressures as demand may drive prices upLack of suitable provision resulting people moving to inappropriatemore costly provision (e.g. care home instead of home care)Risk Owner(s): Executive Director People, Director AdultSocial Care.	 Support Services, ECH) has a multi-Relations team which assess risks to plan response whether QA or Comm Provider Sustainability Panel is a fort assess the financial issues facing ind consider support options Regular meetings with a) key Strateg all provider forums and regular dialog West Care Association Daily assessment of supply - via Brol relationship team and Contracts Strategic Planning and information sl LAs and other key stakeholders - Gree BNSSG and joint problem solving, sh resources. Provider Failure/Service Interruption 	those provisions and issioning um where ASC can lividual provider and lic Providers in the city b) gue with Care and Support kerage team, Business haring with CCG, other eat integration across haring of information and			
Portfolio Flag: Adult Social Care & Integrated Care System Strategic Theme: Our Organisation, Empowering others and Caring, Fair and Inclusive, Well connected, Wellbeing.	Summary of Progress: It continues to be likely that a large su able to cope.	upplier could fail but mos	t likely be a planned ex	it and as market relative	ely stable, it would be

Threat Risk	Trend	Current Risk	Assessment	Risk Tol	lerance Le	evel
Risk Title: CRR40 – Potential Threat of Unplanned Investment in Subsidiary Companies Description: There is a risk that BCC's investments in subsidiaries may require greater than anticipated capital investment.	Constant	20 Likelihood = 4 Impact = 5	Cikelihood Likelihood Impact	6 Likelihood = 2 Impact = 3	Likelihood	Impact
Risk Causes: Failure to have effective corporate governance arrangements in place in one or more of the	Existing Co	ntrols		Mitigating Actions		
companies. Failure to ensure the right leadership with the right skills across the Companies. Business Failure due to severe economic downturn caused by external factors (incl. Pandemic & Brexit). Service delivery failure as a result of specific market changes (e.g., recyclate market, housing market, volatility in gas and electric market prices, delays in timing of income from customer heat network connections), failure to secure planning etc. Delivery of BE2020 wind up within financial envelope. Legislation changes. Cyber Security - risk that key systems are compromised and that sensitive data is stolen Failure to develop and grow commercial trading activities	 as COVID on the business and proposed for optimising emerimitigating pressures. 4. Effective engagement with B 	s to be annual workforce impact of External issues such nd adaptive approach being rging opportunities and HL re reserved matter nent with BCC Client teams to and set clear KPIs rided and regular review of	Action Ti		Due Date	Progress
Risk Consequences: - Financial Loss - Reputational damage to the council - Impact to service provision provided by subsidiary companies						
Risk Owner(s): Chief Executive and S151 Officer.						
Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance and Performance Strategic Theme: Our Organisation, Empowering and Caring, Fair and Inclusive, Well Connected, Wellbeing	Summary of Progress: Risk rating for 1st December uncha at year end to deal with shortfall re			be required to increase	e Waste cont	ract payments

Threat Risk	Trend	Current Risl	k Assessment	Risk To	lerance Lev	el
Risk Title: CRR41 – Capital Portfolio Delivery May FailDescription: Capital portfolio is not delivered on time, within budget and does not deliver One City Plan and Corporate Strategy objectives.	Constant	15 Likelihood = 3 Impact = 5	Likelihood Impact	6 Likelihood = 2 Impact = 3	Likelihood	O npact
Risk Causes:	Existing Con	trols		Mitigating Actions		
Strategic, geographic, social, financial and economic conditions changing over time	Control		Action T	itle	Due Date	Progress
Oversight of Project Interdependencies not well managed Insufficient in-house resources to progress major projects lead to missed opportunities to leverage third party investment	Introduction of enhanced highlight au the G&R Board - Change Services F reports submitted to G&R Board fror programmes and projects. This is no	PMO have regular Highlight n key and/or large capital	Capital transformation project to develop best practice governance, structure and assurance across whole capital programme.		June 2024	18%
Failure to anticipate and secure investment and resources to deliver enabling works and infrastructure	Internal/External comms factored in to reduce reputational risks	into all resource requests				
Risk Consequences: The cost is higher than expected The capital portfolio is delivered later than planned The operating and maintenance cost of assets exceeds expectations Benefits not delivered resulting in failure to deliver outcomes to secure strategic objectives	Additional headroom in MTFP assur inflationary and supply chain issues have regular Highlight reports submi key and/or large capital programmes ongoing.	- Change Services PMO itted to G&R Board from				
Risk Owner(s): Executive Director Growth and Regeneration.						
Portfolio Flag: Mayoral Portfolio and City Economy, Finance & Performance	Summary of Progress: The construction sector while still ch resulted in a more resilient capital po	ortfolio. Performance is unev	en with variation across the po	rtfolio. The capital tran	sformation prog	gramme
Strategic Theme: Our Organisation, Empowering and Caring, Fair and Inclusive, Well Connected, Wellbeing	continues to implement improvemen mitigate the risks identified in this ite will be enhanced reporting and corpo	m and target better speed, c	uality and value in delivery. A	key outcome from the f		

Threat Risk	Trend	Current Risk A	Assessment	Ris	sk Tolerance Leve	əl	
Risk Title: CRR43 - Lack of progress for MassTransit may have on Impact on the cityDescription: Failure of regional authorities to agree way forward for development of a Mass Transit system. No sign up to results of feasibility study.	Constant	20 Likelihood = 4 Impact = 5	Likelihood Likelihood Impact	10 Likelihood = Impact = 5			
Risk Causes: 1. Resourcing Business Case development	Existing C	ontrols		Mitigating Actions			
2. Lack of political consensus	Control		Action Ti	tle	Due Date	Progress	
3. Viability of Business Case4. Lack of DfT support	Mass Transit Directors Board place at regional level to ens officer engagement with proj Regular internal briefings - R senior managers and admini	ure appropriate senior ect egular briefings with					
Risk Consequences: -Reputational impact. -Long term congestion and air pollution increase. -Regional productivity reduced. -Threat to investment across the city.							
Risk Owner(s): Executive Director Growth and Regeneration, Director Economy of Place.							
Portfolio Flag: Transport (Cllr Alexander)	Summary of Progress: Committee failed to agree a Awaiting update from WECA		ic outline business cas	e so currently no	o evident way forward	for project.	
Strategic Theme: Our Organisation, Wellbeing.							

Threat Risk	Trend	Current Ris	k Assessment	Risk T	olerance Lev	vel	
Risk Title: CRR45 - Potential failure to deliver statutory duty in respect of Children Description: Failure to deliver statutory duty in	Constant	20		6	poor		
respect of the safeguarding of children resulting in harm or death to a child or other unmitigated risk to the local authority		Likelihood = 4 Impact = 5	Impact	Likelihood = 2 Impact = 3	Ľ	npact	
Risk Causes:	Existing Conti	rols		Mitigating Actions			
Staffing failure: recruitment and retention	Control		Action Tit		Due Date	Progress	
COVID failure: business continuity plans fail due to higher infection/isolation	1. Benchmarking salaries with re	-	Implement transformation pro Children's service	ogramme of	October 2024	41%	
Management failure: failure to oversee and respond in a timely way to child protection	2. Investing in training and devel	opment					
concerns, leaving children at risk	3. Over-recruiting where required						
	 Reviewing system pressures a weekly basis 	5 5 1 5					
Risk Consequences: Harm or death of a child	5. Systemic unit model and integ	rated locality arrangements			1		
Inspection failure and regulatory action Litigation and reputational damage	 Skilled and stable workforce w workers - Continued low use o turnover and vacancies have 						
Other unpredicted costs to the LA	 Strong multiagency children's under Keeping Bristol Safe and 	safeguarding partnership rangements					
	8. Scrutiny of statutory safeguard	ding partners					
Risk Owner(s): Executive Director Children and Education.							
Portfolio Flag: Children's Services, Education & Equalities		ent children coming into care	and improve placement suffic	iency. 3) Respond to t	he findings withi	n our Ofsted	
Strategic Theme: Our Organisation, Empowering and Caring, Wellbeing.	management by focussed work to prevent children coming into care and improve placement sufficiency. 3) Respond to the findings within improvement plan. 4) Work is being planned across Adults and Children to improve Transitions for our children and timeliness of Care Act assessments. 5) DfE Grant to improve outcomes for children and linked to Our Families Transformation Programme has been approved a place to deliver against this over next 2yrs. Consultants appointed to work on models for adolescents, housing pathway and recruitment at of social workers. 6) The progress against the DfE grant and 7) Our Families Transformation programme is monitored through Our Familie and CLB. The Directorate Improvement Plan encompasses actions against the risks.						

Threat Risk	Trend	Current Risk	Assessment	Risk		/el
Risk Title: CRR48 - We may not be able to meet the affordable housing needs of the city by failing to meet the Project 1000 Delivery targets.	Constant	21		14	po	
Description: Failure of the City to deliver to the Mayoral Target of 1000 affordable homes per year by 2024. Strategies and delivery models designed to further stimulate growth in the housing market and deliver diversity of the housing in the City prove to be ineffective.		Likelihood = 3 Impact = 7	Impact	Likelihood = Impact =	7 🗂 🗖	Dact
Risk Causes: - Availability of public subsidy from homes England and challenges in	Exis	ting Controls		Mitigating Actio	ons	
meeting their funding viability and value for money assumptions -reduction in the levels of Capital funding the Council has to support	Control		Action Title		Due Date	Progress
fordable housing delivery by third party providers he complexity and costs associated with the development of brownfield es, leading to viability challenges for both direct and 3rd party delivery.	delivery and pipelin	toring of affordable housing he including identification of where	1. Secure Homes England Af Housing Programme Fund		March 2026	70%
 sites leading to viability challenges for both direct and 3rd party delivery. Insufficient land available continued impact of Covid 19 on the delivery programme of developments in the City Not enough planning applications submitted Not enough planning permissions granted and delays within the planning process Inability of the housebuilding industry to deliver at this level to meet need through the planning system Increased uncertainty in the market due to Brexit Lack of capacity within the council's delivery system and the local market Insufficient housing land identified in strategic planning documents Risk Consequences: Reputational damage; 2. Increased levels of homelessness Increased demand from the private rented sector, (non-affordable), by those in highest need 4. Residualisation of lower value areas of the city; 5. Economic deprivation, poorer health and lower educational attainment of households living in poverty in poor housing conditions with limited tenancy sustainability; 6. Balance between addressing need for family homes V increased viability of delivering smaller units Risk Owner(s): Executive Director Growth and Regeneration,	 land released by th Working collaborati maximise subsidy i much affordable ho framework for regu place, focussing or delivery. Project 1000 and H and active decision political level to infl delivery. Project 10 KPI Targets for affor reporting of KPI tar corporate scrutiny of 	Im of 30% affordable housing on e Council. ively with Homes England to n schemes - This provides as pusing as possible. New lar collaboration and review in n both BCC direct delivery and RP lousing Delivery Boards - Scrutiny making / support at a senior and uence and unblock barriers to	2. Maximise capital funding fr England, WECA and DLUH the complexities and additi delivering an affordable ho programme on brownfield s including looking at ways o a strategic approach with k partners to meet infrastruct abnormal costs.	HC to address onal costs of using sites, of developing cey funding	March 2025	80%
Portfolio Flag: Housing Delivery and Homes Strategic Theme: Fair and Inclusive	has progressed well sin 97 new affordable home pipeline of 80 developme	revious quarter in what is currently a ce last quarter with new planning co es completed in q2, a total of 227 th ent opportunities being brought forwar mes that is potentially at risk for the	nsents and start on site milestone is year. There are 1900 affordabl ard which will deliver a further 3000	es being reached or e homes in active 0+ homes in future	n 5 sites delivering 76 delivery in the city ar years. Its is this long	6 new homes. Id a new sites

Threat Risk	Trend	Current Risk Ass	sessme	ent	Risk Tol	erance Lev	el
Risk Title: CRR49 - Potential Impact of Weak Workforce Resilience Description: A lack of workforce resilience or capacity to provide statutory services and achieve strategic aims and objectives	Constant	9 Impact 3 = Likelihood= 3	Likelihood Likelihood Impac	t	6 Impact=3 Likelihood = 2	Toler Toler	ance Impact
Risk Causes:	Existi	ng Controls		Mitigating Actions			
Failure to recruit – particularly in specialist areas where	Control			A	ction Title	Due Date	Progress
the market is highly competitive COVID-19 impact in labour market and workforce sickness High levels of staff turnover High staff sickness levels Ineffective prioritisation of workloads Risk Consequences: Key services fail – inability to meet service demands Statutory and/ or regulatory obligations are not delivered Strategic priorities and aims are not delivered. The council becomes unfocused, and demand led. Increasing levels of sickness absence Higher staff turnover and loss of talent	 Agreements in place with emp contingent workforce; agency Promotion of apprenticeships Regular and close review of m Dashboards and leavers surve starters/exits to enable targete Stress risk assessments, supp health advice and Employee A minimise the incidence and leastress risk assessment has be trade unions and staff led grou 22. Support for managers with future 	and statement of works and internal progression opportu- lanagement information (through ey) to monitor turnover, staff ed actions to be taken porting attendance policy, occup assistance Programme are in plangth of sickness absence. A refr een developed through consultat ups and is due for launch in Deco	unities h HR ational ace to eshed tion with ember cession	Workforce S being refres workforce re	Strategy is currently shed and will have esilience and s a primary theme	March 2024	75%
HSE/Legal action Reputational damage Poor customer satisfaction leading to complaints and requests for compensation Risk Owner(s): Chief Executive, Director of Workforce	Consideration of impact of cos encouraging take up of booste available in the workplace Summary of Progress:	it of living and winter pressures, or and flu jabs and review the fac					
and Change	Sickness absence and staff turne	over have started to subside. It is	s recogni	sed that the o	chance of large swathe	s of the Coun	cil's 6,500+
Portfolio Flag: City Economy, Finance & Performance	workers simultaneously suffering risk is more likely to register this			erefore consi	deration will be given t	o asking areas	s where the
Strategic Theme: Our Organisation							

Threat Risk	Trend	Current Risk	Assessment	Risk Tole	erance Lev	el
Risk Title: CRR51 - ASC may be financial unsustainable due to national and local pressures leads to a failure to deliver statutory duties and budgetary control	Constant	21	po	10	po	
Description: There is a risk that ASC financial unsustainability due to a number of national and local pressures compromises the ability to deliver statutory duties and the independence of people that draw on care and support.		Likelihood = 3 Impact = 7	Impact	Likelihood = 2 Impact = 5	Likelihood	pact
Risk Causes:	Existing Co	ontrols		Mitigating Actions		
-Rising demand in Adult Social Care which must be met under the	Control		Action		Due Date	Progress
Care Act. Particularly from complex needs and higher cost requirements in people under 65. These needs are more likely to be met outside of area, be subject to lower personal	 Established Care Cubed to in enabling the service to maxin 	nise value for money.	Strategic Partner (People work	Too) transformation	March 2024	15%
contributions, and be needed for longer. -Increase of needs due to more health services being delivered in	 Improved Business Intelligen tools for analysing and repor 	ting business intelligence	Develop Single Framewor		February 2024	50%
the community without appropriate funding following the patient. -Increased complex needs across our demographics that must be	 and performance information Improved governance proces 	ss on all spend - Improved	Increase the take up and c use of technology enabled		Sept 2023	100%
 met under the Care Act. -Lack of funds available within budget to meet statutory duties. -Lack of systems in order to ensure effective governance and control of all spend. -Pressure from wider system pressures - for example, delays in hospitals which lead to increased long term cost provision for care. -Non-recurrent funding which limits opportunity for long term investment. 	 case discussion where all sp tighter governance. Leading integration opportun establishment of the Integrat are leading implementation of which will maximise vfm e.g. learning disability and autism Realignment of ASC Operation 	ities with Health - Through ed Care Board (ICB) BCC of integration opportunities joint commissioning of team ons - Using new locality	Review of in-house service efficiencies and savings	e provision to deliver	Sept 2023	100%
Risk Consequences: - Overspending on the budget which may impact the wider council. - The consequence of this risk are that appropriate and effective care and support as required under the Care Act may not be possible for all those who require it. The consequence could be felt in the quality or quantity of care and support, or in both.	 teams to work with local provivoluntary sector to maximise outside of Council statutory presilience in communities and statutory services are focuse Reset the ASC Transformatic programme to address mark challenges, price control, pra 	s care and support provision provision. This builds d individuals, and ensure d on the right interventions. on Programme - Reset the et provision, workforce				
Portfolio Flag: Children's Services, Education & Equalities Portfolio Flag: Adult Social Care & Integrated Care System	Summary of Progress: Peopletoo and other transformation of legacy saving projects, transform at the scale and pace required. Non	ation and continued increase in	demand. Some projects are p	ncing the budget become rogressing well and are de	s more acute. R elivering cash sa	isk combined avings but not
Strategic Theme: Our Organisation, Empowering and Caring, Wellbeing.						

Threat Risk	Trend	Curre	ent Risk	Assessment	Risk To	lerance Le	vel
Risk Title: CRR52 Failure to manage and evidence compliance with building safety obligations in HRA stock may lead to regulatory enforcement.Description: Risk of failing to ensure high rise properties meet safety requirements	Constant	21 Likelihood Impact =	-	rikeiihood Likeiihood Impact	7 Likelihood = 1 Impact = 7	rikelihood Imb	act
Risk Causes: Findings from new PAS9980 inspection regime,	Existing Control	ols		Miti	gating Actions		
learning from fires and new regulatory requirements. Difficulty	Control			Action Title		Due Date	Progress
recruiting to new posts and use of interim arrangements due to sector wide capacity issues with recruitment.	Building safety board meeti and building safety complia			tent resource in place to man safety obligations	age and deliver	Dec 23	30%
	 Governance via HSLT, EDN Safety, Health &Wellbeing a Member. Plan to create Building Safe 	A, Corporate and Cabinet	Evidence met by ei key build safety ca	Evidence that all Building Safety Bill obligations are being met by ensuring all in scope buildings are registered and key building information supplied Phase 3 (building safety cases) (New)			3%
	evidence the safety manage and action plans to remedia Progression of a plan of act	ement systems ate risks.	safety ma	Implement an evidenced risk-based approach to building safety management which ensures buildings which have a higher risk have more robust/intensive management.		April 23	20%
Risk Consequences: Risks to personal safety, reputational and legal (financial and criminal), increased insurance costs.	requirements is underway t Head of Business Developr Programme Lead (Residen Safety).	hrough the nent and	Building/ occurren strategy,	Fire Safety- develop plan for o ce reporting, golden thread of data ownership, data storage /systems under BSA	lata, mandatory information-	March 24	25%
Risk Owner(s): Executive Director Growth and Regeneration, Director Homes and Landlord Service			Building	Safety – Develop strategy and building safety case review fr		Oct 23	90%
			Building	safety – Finalise and impleme engagement strategy		March 24	30%
				safety – Finalise and implement strategy	nt per block resident	March 24	30%
			Building framewo	Safety – Review performance rk	reporting	Dec 23	100%
				safety –Review current gover H&LS DMT, EDM and CLB	nance structure	Dec 23	100%
			both build	safety, Fire Safety – Compreh ding safety and fire safety for mited knowledge of 200+ mid-	>11-18m stock due	May 24	30%
				Safety- start building safety ca		March 24	100%

Portfolio Flag: Housing Delivery and Homes	Summary of Progress:
	The panel determined that the likelihood should increase to 4 (highly likely) to reflect the above concerns, and takes into consideration the
	current major incident declared at Barton House relating to structural issues. Following discussion with members of HSLT, the risk title has
	been amended to reflect the possible outcome of regulatory enforcement, and the likelihood of this reclassified as 3 (likely). Significant
	progress has been in resourcing the Building Safety Team - albeit still predominantly with Interim appointments, and a programme for
Strategic Theme: Our Organisation, Empowering and	prioritisation of delivery and management of our Building Safety Obligations.
Caring, Fair and Inclusive, Well Connected, Wellbeing	

Threat Risk	Trend	Current Risk As	sessment	Risk	K Tolerance Le	vel
Risk Title: CRR53 - Increased social worker and occupational therapists' vacancies and sickness rates may result in vulnerable adults' care being comprised.Description: Limited staff capacity within operational teams will result in increased waiting times for assessment and review potentially putting vulnerable adults at risk of going without sufficient care and support.	Constant	20 Impact = 5 Major Likelihood= 4 Almost Certain	Likelihood Impact	9 Likelihood Impact =	:3 □[Impact
Risk Causes: -Difficulties recruiting and retain experienced social workers and OTs.	Existing C	ontrols		Mitigating	Actions	
This is in line with national picture of increasing vacancy rates in statutory adult care social care departments across the country.	Control		Action	Title	Due Date	Progress
 These vacancies are not distributed equally with some operational teams having nearly 50% vacant posts. Sickness absence in operational teams have also increased during this period which is further compounding operational teams' ability to respond to those in most urgent need. Cost of living crisis is also likely to impact on retention rates of social work staff Risk Consequences: As a result of this decreased operational capacity this has seen an increase in numbers of people waiting for assessment and reviews (insert data) The percentage of individuals who have had an annual review of their care and support needs has also decreased in the last year with less than 50% of individual in receipt of care and support having had a formal review. Risk Owner(s): Executive Director People, Director Adult Social Care. 	 Increase Social Work and ASC have doubled the am apprentices this year incre per year and 2 OT apprent Operational Business Com operational teams have int for workflow and demand. robust duty systems in plac present to respond to urge mitigate against highest ris respond in a timely way to Recruitment Strategy - Dev strategy and implemented Developing enhanced Wel staff - dedicated additional Workforce L&D to enhance offer. 	ount of SW and OT asing to 6 SW Apprentices ices. tinuity plans duty - All ernal prioritisation process Additionally, they have ce with duty workers nt demands or cases to k of harm to citizens and those at greatest need. veloped new recruitment rolling recruitment advert. Ibeing offer for operational	Commission Workf	orce Strategy	November 2023	
Strategic Theme: Our Organisation, Empowering others and Caring, Fair and Inclusive, Well connected, Wellbeing.	Summary of Progress: Work underway on TOM and V	ision for ASC. Pay and non-p	ay options to shore u	up recruitment an	d retention progres	sing.

Threat Risk	Trend	Current I	Risk Assessment	Risk Toler	ance Leve)
Risk Title: CRR54 - Potential Threat of Financial Sustainability of Nursery Schools Description: The impact of Covid and union action on maintained nursery schools and classes will significantly reduce the funding provided to the LA and schools and could impact on sustainability and sufficiency (sufficiency being a statutory responsibility of the service) Ongoing underfunding of nursery schools continue to raise questions about their future.	Constant	6 Likelihood = 3 Impact = 2	Likelihood Impact	6 Likelihood = 3 Impact = 2	Likelihood Imba	D act
Risk Causes:	Existing C	ontrols	Mitigating Actions			
Availability of staff to service early years learning	Control		Action Titl	-	Due Date	Progress
 Census data weakened to inform on EY sector funding. Government process change. 	 Identification of potential fil Review of progress with ac nursery schools. Published a council positio 	greed actions with targeted	Continuing with the nursery transformal working with nursery schools to implem reduce in-year deficits and move toward the future.	ent action plans that will	April 24	55%
	nursery schools and key a required to support sustain Individual finance visits to	reas where action is nability.	Bringing groups of nursery schools toge collaborative and federation models that leadership, skills and expertise.	April 24	50%	
	schools with the largest in- action plans to tackle ident		Modelling management of change and models to inform discussions.	collaborate and federation	April 24	50%
Risk Consequences:	 Communication with nursery so Covid impact and impact on pu Development of a nursery trans in collaboration with LA mainta 	ery schools to establish on pupil numbers transformation programme aintained nursery school	Engaging with elected members to revi nursery schools and how the council ca securing future sustainability.		April 24	45%
 Increased financial deficits in maintained nursery schools leading to impact on the DSG and long-term sustainability. 		capture the strengths and rsery schools as well as the				
 Reduction in places across the maintained sector on a permanent basis as schools close unsustainable nursery provision that impacts on the city's sufficiency plan. Risk Owner(s): Executive Director People, 	financial and operational c	hallenges.				
Service Director Education and Skills						
Portfolio Flag: Children's Services, Education & Equalities	Olds and 3/4 Year Olds, are	impacting positively on th	sed supplement for maintained nurse ne school's in-year budget positions. und care has been announced and c	Further funding to support	government	plans for
Strategic Theme: Our Organisation, Empowering and Caring, Fair and Inclusive, Well Connected, Wellbeing	of these increases on currer underway to agree a repayn	nt balances. The key chall nent plan that is managea torical deficit in 3-5 years,	enge remaining is the repayment of t ble by schools and which meets outco as stipulated by the financial regulat	he historical deficit of £7m comes of an Equalities Imp	illion. Discus	sions ient.

Threat Risk	Trend	Current	Risk Assessment	Risk Tole	rance Leve	ł
Risk Title: CRR55 - Children placed in unregistered provision may be at riskDescription: There is a possible high threat risk for the council regarding children placed in unregistered provision which is unlawful.	Constant	28 Likelihood = 4 Impact = 7	r T Impact	14 Likelihood = 2 Impact = 7	rik eilin Lik eilin Impa	ct
Risk Causes: The causes are placement sufficiency and	Existing C	ontrols		itigating Actions		
increased numbers of children coming into	Control Oversight of Director of	Children and Families	Action Title		Due Date March 2025	Progress 60%
care.						
			Explore with Ofsted more creatives		January 24	80%
			Implementation of BCC Families Transformation Programme.		January 24	67%
Risk Consequences: Unlawful placements						
 Negative Legal Impact 						
Negative Ofsted Impact						
Risk Owner(s): Executive Director Children and Education						
Portfolio Flag: Children's Services, Education & Equalities	Summary of Progress: The risk remains as we continue to have children placed in unregistered provision.					
Strategic Theme: Our Organisation, Empowering and Caring, Fair and Inclusive, Well Connected, Wellbeing						

Threat Risk	Trend	Current R	Risk Assessment	Risk Tolerance Level		
Risk Title: CRR56 - Potential threat to the ASC Care Quality Commission (CQC) Assurance Preparedness and Rating Description:	Constant	21 Likelihood =3 Impact = 7	Impact	2 Likelihood Impact	= 1 1	lerance Impact
Risk Causes New line of work - learning whilst doing with little	Existing Co	ntrols	М	tigating Acti	ions	
evidence base or benchmarking to refer to.	Control		Action Title		Due Date	Progress
Programme of work in preparation for inspection hitherto managed within BAU resource which has	This is a new area of work, a		LGA Peer Review (New)	Ja	anuary 2024	0%
proved insufficient. Current workforce and operating model pressures are			Reframed Approach to Self- Assessment (New)	D	ecember 2023	67%
leading to risks to compliance in carrying out statutory duties, e.g. safeguarding, timely Care Act Assessments. Data and performance reporting (e.g. locality dashboard) delayed/unfinished.			Resourcing Self-Assessment	o	october 2023	100%
Risk Consequences: People are families are waiting too long to be seen as	-					
teams are having to operate waiting lists, including in areas where there should be none, e.g. Safeguarding and First Response. Line of sight of risk is compromised. Individuals may come to harm.						
Risk Owner(s): Director - Adult Social Care	-					
Portfolio Flag: Adult and Communities – Adult Social Care	Summary of Progress: Self Assessment complete w with partners and colleagues	with the support of addi s on the self assessme	l tional resource. LGA Peer Revie nt.	w Prep is und	lerway alongside e	engagement
Strategic Theme: Empowering and Caring, Wellbeing Our Organisation						

Threat Risk	Trend	Current Risk	Assessment	Ris	k Tolerance	Level
Risk Title: CRR57 Possible procurement breaches and compliance with procurement rules & legislation.Description: Lack of compliance with procurement rules with regards to purchasing and contract management may result in breaches or BCC Procurement Rules and at risk of breaching PCR2015.	Constant	20 Likelihood =4 Impact = 5	Impact	g Likeliho Impac	c = 3 $c = bo$	Tolerance Impact
Risk Causes Poor contract management	Existing Co	ontrols	M	litigating Ac	tions	
Lack strategic planning and pipeline awareness.; Supplier	Control		Action Title		Due Date	Progress
preference, unwillingness to tender; Lack capacity withing Procurement & Contract Management Service; Rise of	All procurement breaches to b Directors	Monthly reporting to Direct Finance on breach activit	March 2024	75%		
nflation and savings targets	Breach Dashboard Data Reporting	Quarterly Members Briefing on Procurement Breaches		March 2024	75%	
	Training	Monitoring reports on breach activity to all Directors and Executive Directors on breach numbers, compliance and mitigations.		March 2024	75%	
Risk Consequences: Successful Legal challenge and financial penalty against BCC. Reputational damage due to internal audit scrutiny and external audit publishing.	•					
Risk Owner(s): Director: Finance (CFO S151)						
Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance and Performance Strategic Theme: Our Organisation	Summary of Progress: Q2 Report - There have been 125 context, this means that 9% of all £631m total decisions). Breach nu 0 breaches in Resources. CLB age reduced. Breaches now monitored	procurement decisions were b mbers by Directorate YTD are reed to bring Director led 'dee	reaches (125/1318), or 6% by 26 in Adults & Communities,	value (£40m c 64 in Children	of breaches out of and Education, 3	a total of 5 in G&R and

Threat Risk	Trend	Current Risk	Assessment	Ris	Risk Tolerance Level	
Risk Title: CRR58 Failure to maintain and replace the Highway and Traffic assets may lead to future budget shocks and potential injuries to the publicDescription: Deterioration of highway condition. Additional roadworks resulting in congestion and air pollution.	Constant	21 Likelihood = 3 Impact = 7	Impact	1 Likeliho Impac	t = 5	Tolerance Impact
Risk Causes Under investment in replacements and upgrades of	Existing Co	ontrols	N	litigating Ac	tions	
highway and traffic assets	Control		Action Title		Due Date	Progress
Climate changes are accelerates the depreciation of the asset Lack of funding from Central Government and local funding	Depreciation modelling identifie to Highway and Traffic asses Lifecycle modelling and schem sets	Request for funding to be in the medium financial p		January 24	75%	
Risk Consequences: 1. Multi-storey carpark closure 2. Multi-storey carpark structural failure 3. Harbour Bridge Structural Failure 4. Failure to safeguard against significant injury/death. 5. Litigation from third party aggravated or who have suffered loss, due to non treatment of a perceived collision site or other Highways defect. 6. Traffic congestion and air pollution. 7. Increase in complaints and request for repairs diverts resources from core business. Risk Owner(s): Executive Director Growth and Regeneration	Preventative maintenance app the life of the asset and slows Risk based to seeking addition funds)					
Portfolio Flag: Transport	Summary of Progress: Risk categories increased to m depreciation accelerates. Risk support business case for addi	of the asset depreciation h				
Strategic Theme: Well Connected						

Threat Risk	Trend	Current Risk	Assessment	Risk	Risk Tolerance Level		
Risk Title: CRR59 Failure to deliver timely statutory planning decisionsDescription:The DM service must determine applications in statutory time periods and failure to do this results in delays in delivering development and potentially refund of fees	Deteriorating	20 Likelihood =4 Impact = 5	Po of the second s	6 Likelihood Impact :	= 3 Ē	Tolerance Impact	
Risk Causes	Existing Co	ontrols	M	litigating Acti	ions		
Inability to attract, recruit and/or retain existing suitably trained/qualified/experienced staff to support work	Control		Action Title		Due Date	Progress	
programme and the development of the service. Small teams are less resilient than others, in some instances only one or two staff qualified to carry out services resulting in single point of failure. Jobs in the council not seen as aspirational and interest impacted by national news of council funding reductions. Salaries not as competitive as private sector. Limited opportunity for staff progression. Corporate financial pressures impacting recruitment and			Backlog recovery plan of application decisions	planning	June 2024	25%	
retention Overturns of officer recommendations by Members at DC Committee. Decisions made under delegated powers that are overturned by the Planning Inspectorate at appeal. Risk Consequences:	-						
Planning & building regulation applications take longer to determine. Delays in delivering developments. Potential fee refund if extended time not agreed. Inability to complete existing projects Reduced ability to take on work and to respond to variations in workload and programmes. Loss of market share if delays not acceptable to the customer. Inability to honour career grade progression commitments. Risk Owner(s): Chief Planner	_						
Portfolio Flag: Strategic Planning and City Design Strategic Theme Empowering and Caring Strategic Planning and City Design	Summary of Progress: In light of the massive backlog development management. The months likely longer.						

Opportunity Risks

Opportunity Risk	Trend	Current Risk	Assessment	Risk ⁻	Tolerance Le	vel
Risk Title: OPP01 - Possible Impact of One City ApproachDescription: The One City Approach will offer a new way to plan strategically with partners as part of a wider city system.	Constant	14 Likelihood = 2 Impact = 7	po p	28 Likelihood = Impact = 7		Pact International Internation
Risk Causes:	Existing Con	trole		Mitigating Actio	ne	
 Mayoral aspiration and widespread partner sign-up to principles 			A stieve Tit			
 Work to date has produced outline plan and engaged partners in the long-term vision and necessary work to complete the plan 	Control V3 One City Plan Produced - W One City Plan and produced ou available on the One City Webs	ir second annual report	Action Tit		Due Date September 2023	Progress 100%
			Establish TOR and Perfor	rmance Criteria	Feb 24	50%
Risk Consequences: 1. The council can plan as part of a wider city system, making stronger plans based on agreed city priorities which already have partner buy-in 2. Potential to make financial and efficiency savings and/ or deliver better services and/or reduced demand for service, reducing costs whilst improving citizen outcomes. Update April 2020: 3. Relationships already built can accelerate communication, collaboration and effective delivery of a coherent plan for the city's recovery from Covid-19 Risk Owner(s): Director Policy, Strategy and Partnerships.					1	1
Portfolio Flag: Finance, Governance and Performance	Summary of Progress: Good engagement with Committee the model, which will be influential.	Member Working Group abo	ut One City but awaiting fut	ure session to cor	nfirm the group's	view about
Strategic Theme: Our Organisation						

External and Civil Contingency Risks

External and Civil Contingency Risk	Trend	Current Risk As	sessment	Risk T	Risk Tolerance Level		
Risk Title: BCCC1 - Flooding May Impact Public Safety	Constant	15	8	9	g		
Description: There could be a risk of damage to properties and infrastructure as well as risk to public safety from flooding which may be caused by a tidal surge, heavy rainfall and river flood events.		Likelihood = 3 Impact = 5	Beneficial Stress of the second stress of the secon				
Risk Causes:	Existing	Controls		Mitigating Actio	ons		
-Tidal surge, heavy rainfall, and river flood events	Control		Action		Due Date	Progress	
-Impact of climate change -Lack of effective flood defences and preparedness for	Somerset Local Resilience Fo	esilience Forum - The Avon and rum (LRF) is a partnership of all the	Avonmouth Severnside flood defence scheme -	construction	June 2027	80%	
major incidents -Failure of existing flood defences	organisations needed to prep area. It includes the emer	Bristol Avon Flood Strat Business Case		January 24	70%		
5	Maritime and Coastal Agenciagencies, utility companies,	Deliver Local Flood Risk Management Actions		February 2030	25%		
	 councils of Bath and North Somerset, Somerset, and Sou Engagement with external particular 	Establish improved maintenance regimes for the assets in the floating harbour and update asset management system (New)		Dec 24	50%		
Risk Consequences: -Economic Impacts including loss of property -Loss of life/injury -Reputational damage -Damage to infrastructure including strategic highway -Blight of city centre development land	authorities, and other agencie and procedures, investigatin specialist staff in swift water of with housing and business protection into new develop members of the public about	ing with emergency services, local es to develop flood response plans g instances of flooding, training rescue techniques, communicating developers to incorporate flood oments. It provides guidance to flooding, including flood warnings	Resilient Frome project	March 27	20%		
Risk Owner(s): Executive Director Growth and Regeneration, Director Economy of Place.	local Flood Risk Management themes and 43 separate a Agency's national strategy. Th a number of key studies (whic city) to structure our respons emergency management to flo	nt Strategy - Bristol has in place a Strategy which comprises of 5 key actions in line with Environment the Strategy has used outputs from th identify the risk of flooding to the e to flood risk management, from bod mitigation schemes intenance and Clearing of Gullies dvance of storm warnings	Underfall Yard sluice rep construction (New)	July 24	20%		
Portfolio Flag: Climate, Ecology, Energy &	Summary of Progress:				<u> </u>	I	
Waste and Strategic Planning, Resilience and Flood Strategy		e resilience project, manager recruitn	nent ongoing. Projects pro	ogressing but signific	ant flood risk re	main.	
Strategic Theme: Our Organisation, Empowering and Caring, Fair and Inclusive, Well Connected, Wellbeing.]						

External and Civil Contingency Risk	Trend	Current Risk Ass	essment	Risk Tolera	ance Level
Risk Title: BCCC4 – Possible Increase in Winter diseases including COVID-19 and Flu	Deteriorating				
Description: Covid 19 poses multiple risks to population health. Directly from infection; indirectly through social and economic impacts; and through pressures on the health and care system. On 21ST Feb 2022 the Gov announced Living with Covid Strategy which includes withdrawal of population testing and contact tracing. Isolation and other compliance is voluntary	Ļ	12 Likelihood = 4 Impact = 3	Impact	9 Likelihood = 3 Impact = 3	Impact
		g Controls		tigating Actions	
Risk Causes: Covid 19 poses multiple risks to population health.	Control	eekly from April 2022 and will be	Action Title	Due Date	Progress
Directly from infection; indirectly through social and economic impacts; and through pressures on the health and care system. Removal of Covid controls reduces ability to contain infection. Risk Consequences: Infection from Covid, proportion of severe illness, long Covid and deaths. Disruption to work, school, university. Emotional and mental health impacts, for all ages including loneliness. Food poverty.	 produced in current format of the construction of the	until 31 March 2023 rention and Control - Additional een made in Community Infection egional and Health system IPC at and Response Plan - LOMP has Covid Plan -developed with Management Group replaced by oup. Monthly reports to CLB Gold . Regular staff and public bulletins ement and Mental Health Work - I work through Thrive eed on Mental Health, Well-Being - Enhanced protecting health en. Weekly reports published – will cy may change - Green			
Risk Owner(s): Executive Directors & Director of Public Health					
Portfolio Flag: Mayor	Summary of Progress:		1	1	
Strategic Theme: Our Organisation, Empowering and Caring, Fair and Inclusive, Well Connected, Wellbeing	The winter disease season ha	as not started yet (24/11/23) so th that the seasonal increase will be			or COVID at the

External and Civil Contingency Risk	Trend	Current Risl	k Assessment	Ris	sk Tolerance I	Level
Risk Title: BCCC5 - Cost of Living Crisis may have major impact on Citizens and Communities Description: Failure of the council and its one-city partners	Constant	12		9	lie 3 like iihood	
to mitigate against, and provide adequate services to, citizens experiencing increases in living costs including fuel and food leading to increased poverty, inequity and worsening health & wellbeing as a result of the ongoing cost of living crisis.		Likelihood = 4 Impact = 3	Impact	Likelihood Impact =	- 3	npact
Risk Causes:	Existin	g Controls	M	litigating Act	tions	
-Supply chains disruption	Control		Action Title		Due Date	Progress
-Global COVID-19 Pandemic -Brexit	1. Baseline / impact as potential impact on Bris	sessment to understand tolians	Review and update comms plan 23/24	for winter	October 2023	100%
-War in Ukraine -Leading to rapid inflation		g framework with 'red flag'	Update Impact Assessment		Sept 2023	100%
	3. Development of civic	& community asset map	Work with Quartet to award Social Action Grants		December 2023	0%
Risk Consequences: -Destitution - homelessness -Inability for citizens to pay general services and utilities	5. Data monitoring of ke	ework for targeted action ey 'red flag' indicators - City and One Council Group				
-Increased debt for citizens and the council -Health and well-being deterioration	6. Established One Cou					
-Inequity deepening	3 weeks)					
 Increased demand on services across the council leading to failure to meet this demand Community cohesion deteriorates 	 7. Established One City 8. Communication plan External Communicatio 	in place led by BCC				
Risk Owner(s): Executive Director People, Director Public Health	Meetings with communi	of Community Exchange - ity partners delivering				
Portfolio Flag: Public Health and Communities	people who need finance	cial help or looking to increas	s plan in place. The BCC webs their household income. 60 v e available via Quartet. The Ci	welcoming sp	aces are open an	d we expect
Strategic Theme: Our Organisation, Empowering and Caring, Fair and Inclusive, Well Connected, Wellbeing	monthly as does the One Council Group we are agreed our focus is about long term sustainable action to address poverty an inequity which included ensuring there is immediate help available. This is a systemic problem rather than a short term crisis.					

Risk Scoring Matrix

	Threat Impact (Negative risks)							Opportunity Impact (Positive Risk)				
	Almost certain	4	4 (Low)	12 (Medlum)	20 (High)	28 (Critical)	28 (Significant)	ZD (High)	12 (Medlum)	4 (Low)	4	Almost certain
telhood	Likely	в	3 (Low)	9 (Medlum)	15 (High)	21 (High)	21 (High)	15 (High)	9 (Medium)	3 (Low)	3	Opportunit; Likely
Threat Likelhood	Unli ke ly	2	Z (Low)	6 (Medium)	10 (Medium)	14 (High)	14 (High)	10 (Medlum)	6 (Medlum)	Z (Low)	Z	Unlikely Unlikely
	Rare	1	1 (Low)	3 (Low)	5 (Medlum)	7 (Medlum)	7 (Medlum)	5 (Medlum)	3 (Low)	1 (Low)	1	Rare
			1 Minor	3 Moderate	5 Major	7 Critical	7 Exceptional	5 Significant	3 Modest	1 Silght		

Threat Level	Opportunity Level	Level of Risk	Actions Required
1-4	1-4	Low	May not need any further action / monitor at the Service level.
5-12	5-12	Medium	Action required, manage and monitor at the Directorate level.
14-21	14-21	High	Must be addressed - if Directorate level consider escalating to the Corporate Risk Report, if Corporate consider escalating to the Cabinet Lead.
28	28		Action required - escalate if a Directorate level risk, escalate to the Corporate Level, if Corporate bring to the attention of the Cabinet Lead to confirm action to be taken.

LIKELIHOOD AND IMPACT RISK RATING SCORING Likelihood Guidance

I the life and	Likelihood Ratings 1 to 4								
Likelihood	1	2	3	4					
Description	Might happen on rare occasions.	Will possibly happen, possibly on several occasions.	Will probably happen, possibly at regular intervals.	Likely to happen, possibly frequently.					
Numerical Likelihood	Less than 10%	Less than 50%	50% or more	75% or more					
Severity of Impact Guidance (Risk to be assessed against <u>all</u> of the (Categories, and the highest score used in the matri	x).						
Impact Category	Impact Levels 1 to 7								
impact category	1	3	5	7					
Service provision	Very limited effect (positive or negative) on service provision. Impact can be managed within normal working arrangements.	Noticeable and significant effect (positive or negative) on service provision. Effect may require some additional resource, but manageable in a reasonable time frame.	Severe effect on service provision or a Corporate Strategic Plan priority area. Effect may require considerable /additional resource but will not require a major strategy change.	Extremely severe service disruption. Significant customer opposition. Legal action. Effect could not be managed within a reasonable time frame or by a short-term allocation of resources and may require major strategy changes. The Council risks 'special measures'. Officer / Member forced to resign.					
Communities	Minimal impact on community.	Noticeable (positive or negative) impact on the community or a more manageable impact on a smaller number of vulnerable groups / individuals which is not likely to last more than six months.	A more severe but manageable impact (positive or negative) on a significant number of vulnerable groups / individuals which is not likely to last more than twelve months.	A lasting and noticeable impact on a significant number of vulnerable groups / individuals.					
Environmental	No effect (positive or negative) on the natural and built environment.	Short term effect (positive or negative) on the natural and or built environment.	Serious local discharge of pollutant or source of community annoyance that requires remedial action.	Lasting effect on the natural and or built environment.					
Financial Loss / Gain	Under £0.5m	Between £0.5m - £3m	Between £3m - £5m	More than £5m					
Fraud & Corruption Loss	Under £50k	Between £50k - £100k	Between £100k - £1m	More than £1m					
Legal	No significant legal implications or action is anticipated.	Tribunal / BCC legal team involvement required (potential for claim).	Criminal prosecution anticipated and / or civil litigation.	Criminal prosecution anticipated and or civil litigation (> 1 person).					
Personal Safety	Minor injury to citizens or	Significant injury or ill health of citizens or colleagues causing short-term disability / absence	Major injury or ill health of citizens or colleagues may result in. long term disability / absence from	Death of citizen(s) or colleague(s).					
i olooniai oaloty	colleagues.	from work.	work.	Significant long-term disability / absence from work.					
Programme / Project Management (Including developing commercial enterprises)	Minor delays and/or budget overspend but can be brought back on schedule with this project stage. No threat to delivery of the project on time and to budget and no threat to identified benefits / outcomes.	Slippage causes significant delay to delivery of key project milestones, and/or budget overspends. No threat to overall delivery of the project and the identified benefits / outcomes.	Slippage causes significant delay to delivery of key project milestones; and/or major budget overspends. Major threat to delivery of the project on time and to budget, and achievement of one or more benefits / outcomes.	Significant issues threaten delivery of the entire project. Could lead to project being cancelled or put on hold.					
Reputation	Minimal and transient loss of public or partner trust. Contained within the individual service.	Significant public or partner interest although limited potential for enhancement of, or damage to, reputation. Dissatisfaction reported through council complaints procedure but contained within the council. Local MP involvement. Some local media/social media interest.	Serious potential for enhancement of, or damage to, reputation and the willingness of other parties to collaborate or do business with the council. Dissatisfaction regularly reported through council complaints procedure. Higher levels of local or national interest. Higher levels of local media / social media interest.	Highly significant potential for enhancement of, or damage to, reputation and the willingness of other parties to collaborate or do business with the council. Intense local, national and potentially international media attention. Viral social media or online pick-up. Public enquiry or poor external assessor report.					